

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,358	02/23/2004	Kun Yi Lin	14254 B	8969
31625 BAKER BOTT	7590 . 05/17/2007 'S L.L.P.		EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500			REDDING, DAVID A	
AUSTIN, TX 7			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			1744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/785,358	LIN, KUN YI		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		David A. Redding	1744		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHOWHIC WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA SISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr			
Dispositi	on of Claims		1		
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) ⊠ 8) □ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4,6,7,10 and 11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 5,8 and 9 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. r election requirement.			
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 23 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/23/04</u> .	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date		

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 3, "of" should be "off". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States;
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4, 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 5,814,114 (Stueble).

Stueble discloses an airborne filter comprising a housing (24), a filter member (14) disposed within the housing (24) and means for sweeping (32) said filter member (14). Sweeping member (32) being in the form of a flap. The edge of the sweeping member (32) constitutes a blade. The housing (24) is fluidly connected to ducts (38a,38b) which are connected to a vacuum pump (34). The filter further comprises a horizontal duct (not numbered) which connects the ducts (38a,38b). This is considered to constitute an "upper panel".

Application/Control Number: 10/785,358 Page 3

Art Unit: 1744

Further, a lower frame member of the housing is considered to constitute a "bottom bar". The second filter member (14) from the bottom having a rotating shaft (30) is considered to read on claim 4.

Claims 1,10,11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 2005/0000055 to Cheng (Cheng).

Cheng discloses an improved filter (20) to be used with a prior art device shown in figure 1. The device comprises a filter housing (21), a filter member (22) and means for sweeping (332) the filter member (22). The filter tank is to be used in place of the tank (16) in figure 1 which further comprises a duct (14) connected to a suction blower (12). The filter tank (20) is to sit above filter bag (15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,358

Art Unit: 1744

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USP 5,814,114 (Stueble).

In Stueble the flap (32) has an arcuate surface for attachment to the round shaft (30). Stueble does not disclose the shaped surface defined in claim 6.

In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966) (The court held that the configuration of the claimed disposable plastic nursing container was a matter of choice which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious absent persuasive evidence that the particular configuration of the claimed container was significant.). In the absence of persuasive evidence that the shape of the surface of the blade which is attached to the rod is significant, the claimed shape is considered to be an obvious choice in view of Stueble.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5,8, and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Redding whose telephone number is 571-272-1276. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 6:00 - 3:00.

Art Unit: 1744

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran-Piazza can be reached on 571-272-1224. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David A Redding Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1744

DAR